| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|-------------------------------|----------------------------|
| | 09/622,830 | ELZENBECK, MANFRED |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Stephen A. Vu | 3636 |
| Il Participants: Status of Application: 8/2/05 | | |
| (1) Stephen A. Vu. | (3) | |
| (2) Vincent Sunderdick (#29,004). | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 2 August 2005 | Time: <u>9:10 am est.</u> | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: n/a | | |
| Claims discussed: 16-33 and 42-43 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: n/a | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicant's attorney agreed to the proposed examiner's amendment to place the case in condition for allowance. | | |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | · | |
| Skherry. | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant | /Applicant's Representative S | ignature – if appropriate) |